CMS(-TOTEM) Precision Proton Spectrometer at the LHC

### Jan Kašpar





Seminar at CTU Prague 8 Jan 2019

### Basic idea

- synergy of two experiments at interaction point 5 of LHC
  - $\circ~\text{CMS}$  experiment  $\rightarrow$  central detector
  - $\circ~\text{TOTEM}$  experiment  $\rightarrow$  forward proton taggers





- physics motivation  $\rightarrow$  experimental requirements
- detector apparatus
- detector calibration and proton reconstruction
- data-taking experience 2016 2018
- first physics analyses

#### **Physics motivation**

- forward protons: additional kinematics constraint  $\rightarrow$  background suppression (model indep.)
- access to beyond-standard-model physics: precision measurements, missing mass signatures
- some processes of interest:





- non-standard use of LHC: magnetic proton spectrometer
- very forward protons very small displacement from beam (~ mm)
  o detectors need insertion to the LHC beam pipe
  - movable detectors: only inserted once beams stable
  - low impedance required: beam stability, reduced heat load
  - low material budget, vacuum properties, ... required
  - radiation hardness required
- high pile-up ( $\sim$  50 concurrent pp interactions)

 $\circ~$  multiple protons in forward detectors  $\rightarrow$  tracking with pixels

 $\circ\,$  association of forward protons with central particles  $\rightarrow$  timing detectors



#### Roman Pots

• Roman Pot (RP) = movable beam-pipe insertion, "container" for sensors



impedance reduction: ferrite shielding (left), circular design (right)

 left: *RP station* = 2 units ("near" and "far", separated by few meters) right: *RP unit* = 1 horizontal, 2 vertical RPs



• RPs on both sides of CMS  $\rightarrow$  2 arms (LHC sectors 45 and 56):



sector 45 (left arm) IP5 sector 56 (right arm)

- $\circ~$  RP units at  $\approx$  210 and 220 m from the interaction point
- $\circ~$  220 m station includes additional RP for timing sensors

- conceived as common project between CMS (central) and TOTEM (forward)
  TDR in 2014 [CERN-LHC-2014-021]
- "accelerated" start in 2016
  - $\circ~$  motivated by "750 GeV excess" in di-photon spectrum observed in late 2015  $\circ~$  baseline PPS sensors not ready  $\rightarrow~$  start with TOTEM sensors

## • 2016

 $\circ\,$  tracker: 2 Si strip RPs (TOTEM) per arm

# • 2017

- $\circ$  tracker: 1 strip (TOTEM) and 1 pixel (PPS) RP per arm
- $\circ$  timing: 1 RP per arm, diamonds + UFSD

# • 2018

- tracker: 2 pixel RPs per arm
- timing: 1 RP per arm, diamonds + double-diamonds
- PPS fully under CMS

- pitch 66 μm
- strips oriented at 45° wrt. edge facing beam
- cut edge  $\rightarrow$  insensitive margin only  $\approx$  50  $\mu m$
- operated at  $-20~^\circ\text{C},$  bias voltage  $\approx 100~\text{V}$
- 5+5 planes per RP (2 strip orientations for 2D reconstruction)



- 3D technology  $\rightarrow$  radiation hardness
- pixel size 100 x 150  $\mu m \rightarrow$  tracking efficiency
- insensitive edge 200  $\mu m \rightarrow$  little acceptance loss
- 6 planes per RP
  - $\,\circ\,$  planes tilted by 18° for improved resolution





# diamonds

- radiation hard
- $\circ~$  four 4  $\times$  4 mm sensors, pad geometry reflects track occupancy
- $\circ~$  single plane resolution with oscilloscope:  $\approx$  80 ps
- four planes per RP
- custom electronics: amplifier + NINO (discriminator) + HPTDC



# double diamonds

- $\circ\,$  2 diamond sensors connected to the same amplifier input
- $\circ\,$  single plane resolution with oscilloscope:  $\approx$  50 ps
- $\circ$  (up to) four planes per RP



#### Proton transport

proton transport from IP to RPs: description similar to linear optics



- at IP (wrt. beam): vertex  $x^*$ , scattering angle  $\theta_X^*$
- $\xi \equiv \Delta p/p$ : relative momentum loss
- at RPs (wrt. beam):

### $x = D_X(\xi)\,\xi + L_X(\xi)\,\theta_X^* + v_X(\xi)\,x^* + \dots$

 $\circ$  optical functions: dispersion *D*, effective length *L*, magnification *v* 

- functions of  $\xi$
- depend on crossing angle  $\alpha$ , ...
- luminosity levelling  $\rightarrow$  complication
  - 2016: no levelling
  - 2017: crossing angle (6 discrete steps)
  - $\circ$  2018: crossing angle (continuous),  $\beta^*$

### Typical optics

- regular LHC fills:  $\beta^* \approx 0.3 \text{ m} \rightarrow \text{beam}$  squeezed at IP  $\rightarrow$  high luminosity
- leading terms in proton transport

$$x=D_x(\xi)\,\xi+\dots\,,\quad y=L_y(\xi)\,\theta_y^*+\dots$$

- $\circ$  example track distribution in plane perpendicular to beam
  - (over)simplified interpretation: horizontal displacement due to  $\xi$ , vertical due to  $\theta_v^*$



- "pinch" point: due to  $L_y(\xi)$  crossing zero (useful) for calibration
- diffractive protons ( $\xi > 0$ ) displaced mainly horizontally  $\rightarrow$  PPS signal in horizontal RPs
- $\circ\,$  elastic protons ( $\xi\,$  = 0) displaced mainly vertically  $\rightarrow\,$  vertical RPs used for calibration

reconstruction of proton kinematics = inverted proton transport



- 2 key ingredients:
  - track positions at RPs
    - subject to alignment corrections
    - needed 2 RP measurements per arm (4 constraints)
      - $\Rightarrow$  determination of  $\xi$ ,  $\theta_X^*$ ,  $\theta_Y^*$  (and  $y^*$ )
  - optics knowledge

### Alignment

• RPs move, beam may move  $\Rightarrow$  alignment delicate, possibly time-dependent

# Multiple procedures:

- step 0: definition of RP position wrt. beam
  - $\circ\,$  RP position critical for low- $\xi$  acceptance ightarrow ideally as close as possible
  - $\circ~$  LHC safety  $\rightarrow$  RPs in collimator "shadow"  $\rightarrow$  at  $\approx$  15  $\sigma_{\rm beam}$
  - $\circ$  in practice: the same procedure as for collimator alignment

### • step 1: special calibration fill

- $\circ~$  low intensity  $\rightarrow$  RPs allowed at  $\approx$  5  $\sigma$
- $\circ\,$  both horizontal and vertical RPs inserted  $\rightarrow\,$  data-driven beam position determination
- step 2: calibration transferred from calibration to physics (high-luminosity) fills
  - for each fill separately

- low-intensity fill
- primary collimators scrape beam to have sharp edges
- RPs moved in slowly (10  $\mu m$  steps), until beam touch  $\rightarrow$  spike in beam-loss monitors downstream

 $\circ\,$  then RPs at the same number of "sigmas" as the primary collimators

- RPs retracted by pre-defined number of sigmas
  - $\circ\,$  later: this position applied for each RP insertion (after declaration of stable beams in each fill)

#### [CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2017-001]

- data taken in the low-intensity fill from Step 0
- left: red = track in the overlap between vertical and horizontal RPs
  relative alignment by minimisation of track-hit residuals



- right: alignment wrt. beam (applied per unit)
  - $\circ\,$  red-blue histogram: from vertical RPs, dominated by elastic protons
    - black line: interpolation
  - $\circ\,$  green-black histogram: from horizontal RP
    - orange line: extrapolation
  - $\circ\,$  cyan dot: beam position

#### [CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2017-001]

- data from physics fills "matched" to aligned data from calibration fill using invariants
  - physics distributions e.g. inclusive  $\xi$  (or x) distribution:



optics constraints

- systematic application to every fill (horizontal alignment):
  - red/green: x matching / optics-based matching



#### [CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2017-002]

- magnetic model of LHC (e.g. MADX program)
  - certain parameters can be tuned
  - "matching" = tuning to observations in RPs and beam-position monitors (beam orbit)
  - $\circ\,$  found very significant corrections to the nominal optics
- step 1: calibration of  $L_y(\xi)$ 
  - based on correlations in elastic-proton hit distributions (low intensity calibration fill) [New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 103041]
- step 2: calibration of  $D_X(\xi)$ 
  - $\circ$  leading approximation:  $x \approx D_X \xi$  ,  $y pprox L_y(\xi) \theta_y^*$
  - for  $\xi = \xi_0$ :  $L_y = 0 \Rightarrow$  "pinch" in hit distributions
  - $D_x$  estimated as  $x_0/\xi_0$



• step 3: complete optics "matching" with full ensemble of observations

- major sources for tracking inefficiency
  - radiation damage (both strips and pixels)
  - o incapability to resolve multiple tracks (strips only)

### Multitrack inefficiency : Si strips



• no mitigation possible

reason:

• time-dependent evaluation [CMS DP-2018/056]: frequency of multitrack events in zero-bias sample

# • efficiency correlation with pile-up



Seminar at CTU Prague

8 Jan 2019

• track distribution in a RP

 $\circ\,$  left: no radiation damage, right: with radiation damage



- temporary mitigation: HV increase
- efficiency evaluation: ratio between hit distribution in a sample and reference (prior to radiation damage)
  - time-dependent
  - position (x, y) dependent

- pixel sensors radiation hard, but readout chips slow down with radiation:
  - $\circ~$  non-uniform irradiation  $\rightarrow$  different pixels respond in different bunch crossing (BX) slots:



 $\circ~$  single latency for full read-out chip  $\rightarrow$  inefficiency in irradiated zone

- mitigation: detector shifts in technical stops  $\rightarrow$  dose distributed



efficiency evaluation: time and position dependent

### Acceptance

### example of proton acceptance

- $\circ\,$  nominal 2018 optics and collimator position
- $\circ\,$  RP position:  $\sim$  15  $\sigma$  from beam (safety rules)
- y and M: rapidity and mass of products in central detector
- yellow: single-arm acceptance, green: double-arm acceptance
- low-mass limit: RP position, high-mass limit: collimator(s)



#### **Statistics**

### • luminosity collected in 2016 (left), 2017 (middle) and 2018 (right)



 $\circ$  total with RPs: > 110 fb<sup>-1</sup>

### First physics analysis : Di-leptons in 2016 data

### [JHEP (2018) 153]

- data from 2016 pre-TS2, 9.4 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- enhance statistics  $\Rightarrow$  only single proton tag required

 $\circ\,$  signal processes: left, main background processes: right



 $\circ$  lepton *I*:  $\mu$  or e

• known (QED) physics

verification of the full chain: DAQ, reconstruction, alignment, optics, ...
 nevertheless first observation at such masses

### central selection

- $p_T(I) > 50$  GeV, m(II) > 110 GeV to avoid Z peak
- II vertex separation
- II acoplanarity (back-to-back)

• 2016: no timing RPs  $\rightarrow$  background suppressed by matching CMS and RP data



remaining background: pile-up of unrelated central and forward activity

• data-driven background estimate –  $\mu\mu$ : 1.5  $\pm$  0.5 events, ee: 2.36  $\pm$  0.5 events



- PPS continuation approved for Run III (2020 2021)
  - $\circ \sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$
  - $\circ$  goal 300 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- current detectors damaged by radiation  $\rightarrow$  replacement needed
- replacement of tracking detectors
  - $\circ\,$  technology very similar to the existing 3D pixels
  - geometry and granularity also similar
  - $\circ\,$  detector package equipped with internal movement system  $\rightarrow$  distribution of radiation dose
- replacement of timing detectors
  - double-diamonds foreseen in 2 RPs per arm
    - 8 planes  $\sim$  18 ps resolution

#### Summary

- Precision Proton Spectrometer: extension of CMS to tag forward protons
  - $\circ~$  additional information  $\Rightarrow$  model-independent background suppression
  - access to new-physics processes

### status

- $\circ$  > 110 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data collected in 2016, 2017 and 2018
- $\circ$  final detector apparatus as of 2018 (tracker + timing)
- tracker calibration (alignment, optics): advanced development
- tracker efficiency: advanced development
- timing calibration: active development

# physics analyses

- $\circ~$  first publication: di-leptons  $\Rightarrow$  PPS works as desired
- $\circ\,$  ongoing analyses: di-photons (anomalous couplings), ...

### outlook

continuation in Run III confirmed